



Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York NY 10003
www.conEd.com

April 30, 2018

Honorable Kathleen H. Burgess
Secretary
New York State Public Service Commission
3 Empire State Plaza, 19th Floor
Albany, New York 12223-1350

RE: Case 17-E-0741, Rider T – Commercial Demand Response Programs

Dear Secretary Burgess:

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (“Con Edison” or the “Company”) is filing with the Public Service Commission (the “Commission”) amendments to its Schedule for Electricity Service, P.S.C. No. 10 – Electricity (the “Tariff”), applicable to its customers in the City of New York and the County of Westchester.

The Company’s tariff leaves are issued with an effective date of May 1, 2018. The specific tariff leaves being revised are identified in Appendix A.

Reason for Filing

The Commission’s Order Approving Changes to Commercial Demand Response Programs With Modifications, issued and effective on April 20, 2018, in Case 17-E-0741 (the “Order”), approved, with modifications, the Company’s filing made on November 30, 2017, proposing changes to Rider T - Commercial Demand Response Programs, to be effective on May 1, 2018.

Tariff Changes

Pursuant to the Order, the Company is making the following changes to Rider T.

Changes to Incorporate Sub-aggregations

- 1) On Leaf 268 under Section B.2., the Company added text to define “Aggregation” as “either a Sub-aggregation or all Customers represented by an Aggregator within a Network if there are no Sub-aggregations for that Aggregator within that Network.”
- 2) On Leaf 270 under Section B.2., Definitions, the Company added text to define “Sub-aggregation” to mean “a subset of Customers represented by an Aggregator within a

Network. An Aggregator may have up to three Sub-aggregations per Network as long as each Sub-aggregation contains Customers who collectively have a Load Relief potential of 50kW or greater in the Network.”

- 3) On Leaf 272 under Section D.3., Applications and Terms of Service, the Company changed the text to specify Load Relief of Aggregators is measured on a portfolio basis by Aggregation instead of Network to include Sub-aggregation.
- 4) On Leaf 275 under Section E.1., Notifications by the Company, the Company removed the text “within its respective aggregation group” to avoid confusion since the term Aggregation is now defined. The text removal does not change how Notifications are made by the Company and the responsibility of the Aggregators to notify the appropriate Customers.
- 5) On Leaf 278 under Sections H.1., Voluntary Participation Option, I.1, Reservation Payment Option, and I.2, Reservation Payment Option, the Company changed text to reflect Aggregators will have performance evaluated based on the performance of the Customers in an Aggregation in a Network to include Sub-aggregation.

Changes to Reinstate Estimated Payments and to Allow Direct Participants the Option to Eliminate Estimated Payments

- 1) On Leaf 280 under section I.6., Performance Factor, Performance Factor rules were reinstated and modified to make them applicable to Aggregations since Performance Factors apply to Aggregations for Aggregators. The Performance Factor from the previous year for an Aggregation will carry forward to the current year until the first month in which a Load Relief Period or Test Event is called in the Network. New Aggregations will be assigned a Performance Factor of 0.5. After the first Load Relief Period or Test Event is called in the Network, an Aggregation’s Performance Factor for that month will be applied retroactively to true-up payments.
- 2) On Leaf 278 under section I.1., Reservation Payment Option, the Company added “A Direct Participant may request that the Company not make Reservation Payments during a Capability Period until a Performance Factor is established for the Capability Period.”
- 3) On Leaf 280 under section I.6.a., Performance Factor, the Company added text to describe how Direct Participants that request to not be paid an estimated payment would be retroactively paid.

Changes to Test Event Durations

- 1) On Leaf 270 under Section B.2., Definitions, the Company revised the definition of Test Event. The Company removed “up to four hours of” and added “The duration of a Test Event is one hour for CSRP and up to two hours for DLRP.”

Correction of Typo

- 1) On Leaf 281 under Section J, Restrictions on Performance Payments, the Company is correcting a typo that was inadvertently introduced in the November 30, 2017 filing. The word “CSRP” is being restored to the sentence “Performance Payments will not be made under DLRP for Customer accounts participating in CSRP during concurrent Load Relief Hours” after it was inadvertently removed.

Conclusion and Notice

Pursuant to Ordering Clause 1 of the Order, the Company is filing these changes to become effective on not less than one days’ notice to become effective on May 1, 2018. Pursuant to Ordering Clause 3 of the Order, the Commission waived the requirement for newspaper publication of these changes.

Sincerely,

/s/ William A. Atzl, Jr.
Director
Rate Engineering Department

Appendix A

PSC No. 10 - Electricity: List of Revised Tariff Leaves

<u>Leaf No.</u>	<u>Revision No.</u>	<u>Superseding Revision No.</u>
268	5	4
269	5	4
270	6	5
271	4	3
272	5	4
275	4	3
278	8	7
280	8	7
281	8	7