

May 1, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Honorable Jaclyn A. Brillling  
Secretary  
New York State Public Service Commission  
Three Empire State Plaza  
Albany, New York 12223

**Re: Ordinary Tariff Filing of Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (“RG&E” or the “Company”) to revise the Contribution by and Credit to the Applicant for Underground Residential Distribution (“URD”) Installations**

Dear Secretary Brillling:

RG&E is hereby filing the following tariff revisions to become effective June 1, 2007, in compliance with the requirements of the New York State Public Service Commission (“PSC” or “Commission”):

PSC No. 19 – Electricity, Schedule for Electric Service  
Leaf No. 58, Revision 5, Superseding Revision 4

This filing is also made in accordance with Appendix 7-H (electronic tariff filing system) to the Commission’s Codes, Rules and Regulations (16 NYCRR Appendix 7-H).

Leaf No. 58 is revised to update the URD applicant contributions and credit. Also enclosed are the report and work papers for the proposed rates required by 16 NYCRR 98.6.

Please direct any questions pertaining to this filing to Dave George at (585) 771-4765.

Very truly yours,

Mark O. Marini  
Manager, Regulatory and Tariffs  
Rate and Regulatory Economics

Encs.

## **2006 REPORT**

98.6A. In compliance with 16 NYCRR 98.6, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation submits a report containing the information required by this section based on pertinent construction experience from a 5-year period between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2006.

98.6B. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation submits the following information based on underground residential distribution projects energized between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2006.

- |       |   |                        |
|-------|---|------------------------|
| ( 1 ) | The average cost per foot of all distribution lines and all service lines installed underground in residential subdivisions . . . . . | \$7.95                 |
| ( 2 ) | The length of distribution trench in new residential subdivision shared with others . . .   | 136,537                |
| ( 3 ) | The Company's average cost per foot of shared distribution trench . . . . .   | \$1.29                 |
| ( 4 ) | The total length of distribution trench in new residential subdivisions not shared with others.. . . .                                | 2,493                  |
| ( 5 ) | The Company's average cost per foot of such nonshared distribution trench . . . . .   | \$4.74                 |
| ( 6 ) | The total length of supply lines placed underground between existing electric systems and new residential subdivisions . . . . .      | (footnote 1)           |
| ( 7 ) | The Company's average cost per foot of such supply lines placed underground . . . . .   | " "                    |
| ( 8 ) | The total length of supply lines placed above ground between existing electric systems and new residential subdivisions . . . . .     | " "                    |
| ( 9 ) | The Company's average cost per foot of such supply lines placed above ground . . . . .  | " "                    |
| (10)  | The total number of service lines installed by the Company in new residential subdivisions . . . . .                                  | (footnote 2)           |
|       | The total dollar amount of the credit allowed by the Company in new residential subdivisions . . . . .                                | " "                    |
| (11)  | The total length of service lines installed by the Company . . . . .  | " "                    |
| (12)  | The total cost of service lines installed by the Company . . . . .  | " "                    |
| (13)  | The average cost per foot of service lines installed by the Company in new residential subdivisions .. . . .                          | \$6.94<br>(footnote 3) |

1 "per foot" information and charge could not be determined because the cost of the limited footage of feeder was included in the overall distribution costs.

2 The method of accounting for service line cost does not exist in the proper form to address this question.

3 Five-year average cost based upon \$ 2.25 per foot underground cost, single phase direct buried primary cable up to 700 ft., and \$4.69 per foot for trenching as outlined in Attachment 2.

## **2006 REPORT**

98.6C. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation submits the following information required by subdivision 98.6E.

- (1) Overhead and underground distributions lines and service lines installed in VSR's ..... -0-
- (2) Underground distribution lines and service lines installed in areas which are both outside VSR's and residential subdivisions.....(footnote 1)
- (3) Overhead distribution lines and service lines installed in areas which are both outside VSR's and residential subdivisions .....(footnote 1)

98.6D. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation submits the following:

- (1) A frequency distribution of new service lines by footage interval of no more than 50 feet, of the samples described in paragraphs (c) (2) and (3) of Section 98.6.
  - (c)(2)Number underground installed between 1 - 50 feet..... (footnote 1)  
Number underground installed between 51 - 100 feet.....(footnote 1)  
Number underground installed greater than 100 feet..... (footnote 1)  
TOTAL ..... (footnote 1)
  - (c)(3)Number overhead installed between 1 - 50 feet.....(footnote 1)  
Number overhead installed between 51 - 100 feet.....(footnote 1)  
Number overhead installed greater than 100 feet.....(footnote 1)  
TOTAL.....(footnote 1)
- (2) Amount spent of Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation's maximum obligation described in Section 99.2 (a) of this Title .....-0-

98.6E. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation submits the following information for overhead and underground lines in the following format:

- (1) Number of new service lines capitalized:
  - (i) VSR.....-0-
  - (ii) Non-VSR outside residential subdivision.....(footnote 1)
- (2) Total length of new service lines in paragraph (1) of this subdivision:
  - (i) VSR.....-0-
  - (ii) Non-VSR outside residential subdivisions.....(footnote 1)

Underground service lines installed by Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation ..... (footnote 2)  
Credit allowed by Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation ..... " "

1 A revised report will be issued at such time as the requisite data becomes available.

2 The method of accounting for service line cost does not exist in the proper form to address this question.

**2006 REPORT**

- (3) Total cost of service lines in paragraph (2) of 98.6E:
- (i) VSR. ....-0-
  - (ii) Non-VSR outside residential subdivisions. .... (footnote 1)
- (4) Cost per foot of new service lines (paragraph (3) divided by paragraph (2) of subdivision 98.6E:
- (i) VSR. ....-0-
  - (ii) Non-VSR outside residential subdivisions . . . . . (footnote 1)
- (5) Total length of distribution line capitalized:
- (i) VSR.....-0-
  - (ii) Non-VSR outside residential subdivisions.....(footnote 1)
- (6) Total cost of distribution lines in paragraph (5) of 98.6E:
- (i) VSR.....-0-
  - (ii) Non-VSR outside residential subdivisions.....(footnote 1)

Note: Distribution and service lines includes total RG&E territory.

1 A revised report will be issued at such time as the requisite data becomes available.